

**Biorthogonal family construction and its application to
controllability**

**Graduate Student Seminar
Autumn 2022**

Contents

- 1 Null controllability
- 2 Introduction to a problem
- 3 Motivation for biorthogonal
- 4 Biorthogonal family
- 5 Controllability Results

What to control and to where?

What to control and to where?

Consider the following linear system of ODEs:

$$\begin{cases} U'(t) = AU(t) + BQ(t) \\ U(0) = U^0 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where, $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$, $B \in M_{n,m}(\mathbb{R})$, $U(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $Q(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

What to control and to where?

Consider the following linear system of ODEs:

$$\begin{cases} U'(t) = AU(t) + BQ(t) \\ U(0) = U^0 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where, $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$, $B \in M_{n,m}(\mathbb{R})$, $U(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $Q(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Definition

(1) is null controllable in time T , if for any $U^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, \exists control $Q(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that the corresponding solution satisfies $U(T) = 0$.

What to control and to where?

Consider the following linear system of ODEs:

$$\begin{cases} U'(t) = AU(t) + BQ(t) \\ U(0) = U^0 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where, $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$, $B \in M_{n,m}(\mathbb{R})$, $U(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $Q(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Definition

(1) is null controllable in time T , if for any $U^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, \exists control $Q(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that the corresponding solution satisfies $U(T) = 0$.

e.g. $A = I_2, B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} : n = 2, m = 1$

The solution of this system is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_1(t) \\ u_2(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1^0 e^t + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)} Q(s) ds \\ u_2^0 e^t \end{pmatrix}$$

What to control and to where?

Consider the following linear system of ODEs:

$$\begin{cases} U'(t) = AU(t) + BQ(t) \\ U(0) = U^0 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where, $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$, $B \in M_{n,m}(\mathbb{R})$, $U(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $Q(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Definition

(1) is null controllable in time T , if for any $U^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, \exists control $Q(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that the corresponding solution satisfies $U(T) = 0$.

e.g. $A = I_2, B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} : n = 2, m = 1$

The solution of this system is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_1(t) \\ u_2(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1^0 e^t + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)} Q(s) ds \\ u_2^0 e^t \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} : \text{Not null controllable}$$

$$Q(s) = -\frac{e^{-s} u_1^0}{T}, T > 0$$

What to control and to where?

Consider the following linear system of ODEs:

$$\begin{cases} U'(t) = AU(t) + BQ(t) \\ U(0) = U^0 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where, $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$, $B \in M_{n,m}(\mathbb{R})$, $U(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $Q(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Definition

(1) is null controllable in time T , if for any $U^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, \exists control $Q(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that the corresponding solution satisfies $U(T) = 0$.

e.g. $A = I_2, B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} : n = 2, m = 1$

The solution of this system is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_1(t) \\ u_2(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1^0 e^t + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)} Q(s) ds \\ u_2^0 e^t \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} : \text{Not null controllable}$$

$$Q(s) = -\frac{e^{-s} u_1^0}{T}, T > 0$$

What if $B = I_2$ and $Q = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{pmatrix} ? : [m = n = 2]$

$$\text{e.g. } A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

e.g. $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} : m = 1 < 2 = n : \text{Null controllable}$

The system can be written as:

$$\begin{cases} u_1'(t) = u_2(t) \\ u_2'(t) = -u_1(t) + q(t) \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

e.g. $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} : m = 1 < 2 = n : \text{Null controllable}$

The system can be written as:

$$\begin{cases} u_1'(t) = u_2(t) \\ u_2'(t) = -u_1(t) + q(t) \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

which is equivalent to $u_1'' + u_1 = q$.

e.g. $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} : m = 1 < 2 = n : \text{Null controllable}$

The system can be written as:

$$\begin{cases} u_1'(t) = u_2(t) \\ u_2'(t) = -u_1(t) + q(t) \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

which is equivalent to $u_1'' + u_1 = q$.

The states are: $(u_1, u_2) = (u_1, u_1')$.

e.g. $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} : m = 1 < 2 = n : \text{Null controllable}$

The system can be written as:

$$\begin{cases} u_1'(t) = u_2(t) \\ u_2'(t) = -u_1(t) + q(t) \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

which is equivalent to $u_1'' + u_1 = q$.

The states are: $(u_1, u_2) = (u_1, u_1')$.

For any given initial data (u_1^0, u_2^0) , define $u(t)$

e.g. $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} : m = 1 < 2 = n : \text{Null controllable}$

The system can be written as:

$$\begin{cases} u_1'(t) = u_2(t) \\ u_2'(t) = -u_1(t) + q(t) \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

which is equivalent to $u_1'' + u_1 = q$.

The states are: $(u_1, u_2) = (u_1, u_1')$.

For any given initial data (u_1^0, u_2^0) , define $u(t)$ as:

$$u(t) = \frac{(T-t)^2 (u_1^0 + t (u_2^0 + \frac{2}{T} u_1^0))}{T^2}$$

Then: $u(0) = u_1^0, u(T) = 0,$
 $u'(0) = u_2^0, u'(T) = 0.$

e.g. $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$: $m = 1 < 2 = n$: Null controllable

The system can be written as:

$$\begin{cases} u_1'(t) = u_2(t) \\ u_2'(t) = -u_1(t) + q(t) \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

which is equivalent to $u_1'' + u_1 = q$.

The states are: $(u_1, u_2) = (u_1, u_1')$.

For any given initial data (u_1^0, u_2^0) , define $u(t)$ as:

$$u(t) = \frac{(T-t)^2 (u_1^0 + t (u_2^0 + \frac{2}{T} u_1^0))}{T^2}$$

Then: $u(0) = u_1^0$, $u(T) = 0$,
 $u'(0) = u_2^0$, $u'(T) = 0$.

Finally, one can define the control as $q(t) = u''(t) + u(t)$.

Introducing the systems

The linear system coupling KS-KdV equation with transport equation is given as:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} u_t + u_{xxxx} + u_{xxx} + u_{xx} + u = v_x, & (t, x) \in (0, T) \times (0, 2\pi), \\ v_t + v_x + v = u_x, & (t, x) \in (0, T) \times (0, 2\pi), \\ u(t, 0) = u(t, 2\pi), & t \in (0, T), \\ u^{(i)}(t, 0) = u^{(i)}(t, 2\pi), & t \in (0, T), i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \\ v(t, 0) = v(t, 2\pi) + q(t), & t \in (0, T), \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), v(0, x) = v_0(x), & x \in (0, 2\pi), \end{array} \right. \quad (3)$$

Introducing the systems

The linear system coupling KS-KdV equation with transport equation is given as:

$$\begin{cases} u_t + u_{xxxx} + u_{xxx} + u_{xx} + u = v_x, & (t, x) \in (0, T) \times (0, 2\pi), \\ v_t + v_x + v = u_x, & (t, x) \in (0, T) \times (0, 2\pi), \\ u(t, 0) = u(t, 2\pi), & t \in (0, T), \\ u^{(i)}(t, 0) = u^{(i)}(t, 2\pi), & t \in (0, T), i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \\ v(t, 0) = v(t, 2\pi) + q(t), & t \in (0, T), \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), v(0, x) = v_0(x), & x \in (0, 2\pi), \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

The coupled PDEs can be written as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}_t = A \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v_x - u_{xxxx} - u_{xxx} - u_{xx} - u \\ u_x - v_x - v \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

Introducing the systems

The linear system coupling KS-KdV equation with transport equation is given as:

$$\begin{cases} u_t + u_{xxxx} + u_{xxx} + u_{xx} + u = v_x, & (t, x) \in (0, T) \times (0, 2\pi), \\ v_t + v_x + v = u_x, & (t, x) \in (0, T) \times (0, 2\pi), \\ u(t, 0) = u(t, 2\pi), & t \in (0, T), \\ u^{(i)}(t, 0) = u^{(i)}(t, 2\pi), & t \in (0, T), i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \\ v(t, 0) = v(t, 2\pi) + q(t), & t \in (0, T), \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), v(0, x) = v_0(x), & x \in (0, 2\pi), \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

The coupled PDEs can be written as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}_t = A \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v_x - u_{xxxx} - u_{xxx} - u_{xx} - u \\ u_x - v_x - v \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

Question:

We try to find a space X and some $T_0 \geq 0$ such that if we choose $(u_0, v_0) \in X$, and any $T > T_0$, we get existence of a control function $q_T \in L^2(0, T)$ such that the solution (u, v) satisfies $(u(T, x), v(T, x)) = (0, 0)$.

Motivation for biorthogonal

$$\diamond L^2(0, 2\pi) = \{f : (0, 2\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \int_0^{2\pi} |f|^2 < \infty\}.$$

Motivation for biorthogonal

- ❖ $L^2(0, 2\pi) = \{f : (0, 2\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \int_0^{2\pi} |f|^2 < \infty\}$.
- ❖ Method utilized: Method of moments

Motivation for biorthogonal

- ❖ $L^2(0, 2\pi) = \{f : (0, 2\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \int_0^{2\pi} |f|^2 < \infty\}$.
- ❖ Method utilized: **Method of moments**
- ❖ Asymptotic expression of eigenvalues:

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_0^\pm = -1 \\ \lambda_1^+ = \lambda_{-1}^+ = -1 + \sqrt{2}i \\ \lambda_1^- = \lambda_{-1}^- = -1 - \sqrt{2}i \\ \lambda_k^+ = ik - 1 + O(k^{-1}), \text{ as } |k| \rightarrow \infty \\ \lambda_k^- = -k^4 - ik^3 + k^2 - 1 + O(k^{-1}), \text{ as } |k| \rightarrow \infty \end{cases}$$

Motivation for biorthogonal

- ❖ $L^2(0, 2\pi) = \{f : (0, 2\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \int_0^{2\pi} |f|^2 < \infty\}$.
- ❖ Method utilized: **Method of moments**
- ❖ Asymptotic expression of eigenvalues:

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_0^\pm = -1 \\ \lambda_1^+ = \lambda_{-1}^+ = -1 + \sqrt{2}i \\ \lambda_1^- = \lambda_{-1}^- = -1 - \sqrt{2}i \\ \lambda_k^+ = ik - 1 + O(k^{-1}), \text{ as } |k| \rightarrow \infty \\ \lambda_k^- = -k^4 - ik^3 + k^2 - 1 + O(k^{-1}), \text{ as } |k| \rightarrow \infty \end{cases}$$

- ❖ This method relies on: **(Null controllability) \Leftrightarrow (Solving a moment problem)**

$$\begin{cases} a_k^+ \int_{-\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{T}{2}} e^{-\overline{\lambda_k^+} t} q\left(t + \frac{T}{2}\right) dt = b_k^+, \\ a_k^- \int_{-\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{T}{2}} e^{-\overline{\lambda_k^-} t} q\left(t + \frac{T}{2}\right) dt = b_k^-, \end{cases} \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, \pm 1\} : \text{Moment problem}$$

Motivation for biorthogonal

- ❖ $L^2(0, 2\pi) = \{f : (0, 2\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \int_0^{2\pi} |f|^2 < \infty\}$.
- ❖ Method utilized: **Method of moments**
- ❖ Asymptotic expression of eigenvalues:

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_0^\pm = -1 \\ \lambda_1^+ = \lambda_{-1}^+ = -1 + \sqrt{2}i \\ \lambda_1^- = \lambda_{-1}^- = -1 - \sqrt{2}i \\ \lambda_k^+ = ik - 1 + O(k^{-1}), \text{ as } |k| \rightarrow \infty \\ \lambda_k^- = -k^4 - ik^3 + k^2 - 1 + O(k^{-1}), \text{ as } |k| \rightarrow \infty \end{cases}$$

- ❖ This method relies on: **(Null controllability)** \Leftrightarrow **(Solving a moment problem)**

$$\begin{cases} a_k^+ \int_{-\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{T}{2}} e^{-\overline{\lambda_k^+} t} q\left(t + \frac{T}{2}\right) dt = b_k^+, \\ a_k^- \int_{-\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{T}{2}} e^{-\overline{\lambda_k^-} t} q\left(t + \frac{T}{2}\right) dt = b_k^-, \end{cases} \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, \pm 1\} : \text{Moment problem}$$

- ❖ Need a family of functions **biorthogonal** to $\{e^{\lambda_k^\pm t}\}$ with proper L^2 -estimates.

Biorthogonal family

Theorem (Existence of biorthogonal)

Let $T > 2\pi$. Then there exists a family $\{\Theta_k^\pm\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}} \cup \{\Theta_0\}$ of functions in $L^2\left(-\frac{T}{2}, \frac{T}{2}\right)$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \int_{-\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{T}{2}} \Theta_k^\pm(t) e^{-\overline{\lambda_l^\mp} t} dt = \delta_{kl} \delta_\pm, & l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1\}, k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}, \\ \int_{-\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{T}{2}} \Theta_0(t) e^{-\overline{\lambda_l^\mp} t} dt = \delta_{0l}, & l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1\}, \end{cases}$$

where,

$$\delta_{kl} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = l \\ 0, & \text{if } k \neq l \end{cases} \text{ and } \delta_\pm = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if sign on } \Theta_k \text{ and } \lambda_k \text{ is same} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Biorthogonal family

Theorem (Existence of biorthogonal)

Let $T > 2\pi$. Then there exists a family $\{\Theta_k^\pm\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}} \cup \{\Theta_0\}$ of functions in $L^2\left(-\frac{T}{2}, \frac{T}{2}\right)$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \int_{-\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{T}{2}} \Theta_k^\pm(t) e^{-\lambda_l^\mp t} dt = \delta_{kl} \delta_\pm, & l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1\}, k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}, \\ \int_{-\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{T}{2}} \Theta_0(t) e^{-\lambda_l^\mp t} dt = \delta_{0l}, & l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1\}, \end{cases}$$

where,

$$\delta_{kl} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = l \\ 0, & \text{if } k \neq l \end{cases} \text{ and } \delta_\pm = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if sign on } \Theta_k \text{ and } \lambda_k \text{ is same} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we have following estimates on the obtained family

$$\|\Theta_k^+\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C|k|^4, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\},$$

$$\|\Theta_k^-\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C e^{-\frac{T}{2}(k^4 - k^2)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\},$$

$$\|\Theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C,$$

where, C is a positive constant independent of k .

Preliminaries

Definition

An entire function f is said to be of **exponential type** A if there exist positive constant B such that

$$|f(z)| \leq Be^{A|z|}, z \in \mathbb{C},$$

Preliminaries

Definition

An entire function f is said to be of **exponential type** A if there exist positive constant B such that

$$|f(z)| \leq B e^{A|z|}, z \in \mathbb{C},$$

Definition

An entire function f is said to be of **exponential type at most** A if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $B_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$|f(z)| \leq B_\epsilon e^{(A+\epsilon)|z|}, z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Contd.

Definition

An entire function f of exponential type π is said to be of sine type if

- (i) the zeros of $f(z)$, say μ_k satisfies gap condition, i.e., there exist $\delta > 0$ such that $|\mu_k - \mu_l| > \delta$ for $k \neq l$, and
- (ii) there exist positive constants C_1, C_2 and C_3 such that

$$C_1 e^{\pi|y|} \leq |f(x + iy)| \leq C_2 e^{\pi|y|}, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } |y| \geq C_3.$$

Contd.

Definition

An entire function f of exponential type π is said to be of sine type if

- (i) the zeros of $f(z)$, say μ_k satisfies gap condition, i.e., there exist $\delta > 0$ such that $|\mu_k - \mu_l| > \delta$ for $k \neq l$, and
- (ii) there exist positive constants C_1, C_2 and C_3 such that

$$C_1 e^{\pi|y|} \leq |f(x + iy)| \leq C_2 e^{\pi|y|}, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } |y| \geq C_3.$$

Theorem (Properties of sine type function)

Let f be a sine type function, and let $\{\mu_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{I}}$ with $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ be its sequence of zeros. Then, we have:

- (a) for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exist constants $K_\epsilon, \tilde{K}_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$K_\epsilon e^{\pi|y|} \leq |f(x + iy)| \leq \tilde{K}_\epsilon e^{\pi|y|}, \quad \text{if } \text{dist}(x + iy, \{\mu_k\}) > \epsilon,$$

- (b) there exist some constants $K_1, K_2 > 0$ such that

$$K_1 < |f'(\mu_k)| < K_2, \quad \forall k \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Contd.

Theorem (Paley-Wiener)

Let f be an entire function of exponential type A and suppose

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 dx < \infty.$$

Then there exists a function $\phi \in L^2(-A, A)$ with the following

$$f(z) = \int_{-A}^A e^{izt} \phi(t) dt, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Sketch of the proof

Thus, the problem reduces to find a class of entire functions $\mathcal{E} = \{\Psi_k^\pm, \Psi_0\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}}$ with the following properties:

Sketch of the proof

Thus, the problem reduces to find a class of entire functions $\mathcal{E} = \{\Psi_k^\pm, \Psi_0\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}}$ with the following properties:

1. \exists a positive constant C_k such that

$$|\Psi_0(z)| \leq C_0 e^{\frac{T}{2}|z|} \text{ and } |\Psi_k^\pm(z)| \leq C_k e^{\frac{T}{2}|z|}, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (5)$$

Sketch of the proof

Thus, the problem reduces to find a class of entire functions $\mathcal{E} = \{\Psi_k^\pm, \Psi_0\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}}$ with the following properties:

1. \exists a positive constant C_k such that

$$|\Psi_0(z)| \leq C_0 e^{\frac{T}{2}|z|} \text{ and } |\Psi_k^\pm(z)| \leq C_k e^{\frac{T}{2}|z|}, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (5)$$

- 2.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\Psi_k^\pm(x)|^2 dx < \infty \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\Psi_0(x)|^2 dx < \infty. \quad (6)$$

Sketch of the proof

Thus, the problem reduces to find a class of entire functions $\mathcal{E} = \{\Psi_k^\pm, \Psi_0\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}}$ with the following properties:

1. \exists a positive constant C_k such that

$$|\Psi_0(z)| \leq C_0 e^{\frac{T}{2}|z|} \text{ and } |\Psi_k^\pm(z)| \leq C_k e^{\frac{T}{2}|z|}, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (5)$$

- 2.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\Psi_k^\pm(x)|^2 dx < \infty \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\Psi_0(x)|^2 dx < \infty. \quad (6)$$

3. The following relation hold

$$\begin{cases} \Psi_k^\pm(i\mu_l^\pm) = \delta_{kl}\delta_\pm, & k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}, l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1\}, \\ \Psi_0(i\mu_l^\pm) = \delta_{0l}, & l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1\}, \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where, $\mu_0^+ = \mu_0^- = \mu_0 = -1$, $\mu_k^\pm = \overline{\lambda_k^\pm}$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.

Contd.

Let us first introduce the following entire function which has simple zeros exactly at

$$i\mu_k^\pm = \overline{i\lambda_k^\pm} :$$

$$P(z) = \left(1 - \frac{z}{i\mu_0}\right) \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{i\mu_k^+}\right) \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{i\mu_k^-}\right). \quad (8)$$

Contd.

Let us first introduce the following entire function which has simple zeros exactly at

$$i\mu_k^\pm = \overline{i\lambda_k^\pm} :$$

$$P(z) = \left(1 - \frac{z}{i\mu_0}\right) \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{i\mu_k^+}\right) \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{i\mu_k^-}\right). \quad (8)$$

Lemma

Let $\Lambda_k = k + d_k$, where $d_k = d + O(k^{-1})$, for $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ as $|k| \rightarrow \infty$ for some constant $d \in \mathbb{C}$, and that $\Lambda_k \neq \Lambda_l$ for $k \neq l$. Then $f(z) = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\Lambda_k}\right)$ is an entire function of type sine.

Contd.

Let us first introduce the following entire function which has simple zeros exactly at

$$i\mu_k^\pm = \overline{i\lambda_k^\pm} :$$

$$P(z) = \left(1 - \frac{z}{i\mu_0}\right) \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{i\mu_k^+}\right) \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{i\mu_k^-}\right). \quad (8)$$

Lemma

Let $\Lambda_k = k + d_k$, where $d_k = d + O(k^{-1})$, for $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ as $|k| \rightarrow \infty$ for some constant $d \in \mathbb{C}$, and that $\Lambda_k \neq \Lambda_l$ for $k \neq l$. Then $f(z) = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\Lambda_k}\right)$ is an entire function of type sine.

Define

$$P_1(z) = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1\}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{i\mu_k^+}\right), \quad P_2(z) = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1\}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{i\mu_k^-}\right),$$

Contd.

Let us first introduce the following entire function which has simple zeros exactly at

$$i\mu_k^\pm = \overline{i\lambda_k^\pm} :$$

$$P(z) = \left(1 - \frac{z}{i\mu_0}\right) \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{i\mu_k^+}\right) \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{i\mu_k^-}\right). \quad (8)$$

Lemma

Let $\Lambda_k = k + d_k$, where $d_k = d + O(k^{-1})$, for $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ as $|k| \rightarrow \infty$ for some constant $d \in \mathbb{C}$, and that $\Lambda_k \neq \Lambda_l$ for $k \neq l$. Then $f(z) = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\Lambda_k}\right)$ is an entire function of type sine.

Define

$$P_1(z) = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1\}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{i\mu_k^+}\right), \quad P_2(z) = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1\}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{i\mu_k^-}\right),$$

$$P(z) = \frac{P_1(z)P_2(z)}{\left(1 + \frac{z}{i}\right)}.$$

Contd.

For $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}$, we define

$$\tilde{\delta}_k = \operatorname{sgn}(k) \sqrt[4]{-\mu_k^-} = k + b_k,$$

where $b_k = -\frac{i}{4} + O(k^{-1})$ as $|k| \rightarrow \infty$ and let $\tilde{\delta}_0 = 1$.

Contd.

For $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}$, we define

$$\tilde{\delta}_k = \operatorname{sgn}(k) \sqrt[4]{-\mu_k^-} = k + b_k,$$

where $b_k = -\frac{i}{4} + O(k^{-1})$ as $|k| \rightarrow \infty$ and let $\tilde{\delta}_0 = 1$. Next, we define

$$Q_1(z) = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1\}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\tilde{\delta}_k} \right),$$

Contd.

For $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}$, we define

$$\tilde{\delta}_k = \operatorname{sgn}(k) \sqrt[4]{-\mu_k^-} = k + b_k,$$

where $b_k = -\frac{i}{4} + O(k^{-1})$ as $|k| \rightarrow \infty$ and let $\tilde{\delta}_0 = 1$. Next, we define

$$Q_1(z) = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1\}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\tilde{\delta}_k} \right),$$

$$Q_2(z) = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1\}} \left(1 + \frac{z^4}{\mu_k^-} \right).$$

Thus, we get the following relations:

$$Q_2(z) = Q_1(z)Q_1(-z)Q_1(iz)Q_1(-iz), \quad (9)$$

$$P_2(z) = Q_2(e^{i\frac{\pi}{8}} \sqrt[4]{z}). \quad (10)$$

Contd.

Lemma (Estimates on P)

Let P be the canonical product defined in (8). Then P is an entire function of exponential type at most π , which satisfies the following estimates for some positive constants C, C_1, C_2 independent of k :

$$|P(x)| \leq C |x + i|^{-1} e^{2\pi(\cos(\frac{\pi}{8}) + \sin(\frac{\pi}{8}))|x|^{\frac{1}{4}}}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (11)$$

$$|P'(i\mu_k^+)| \geq C_1 |k|^{-1} e^{2\pi(\cos(\frac{\pi}{8}) + \sin(\frac{\pi}{8}))|k|^{\frac{1}{4}}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\} \quad (12)$$

$$|P'(i\mu_k^-)| \geq C_2 |k|^{-7} e^{\pi(k^4 - k^2 + 2|k|)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}. \quad (13)$$

Contd.

Lemma (Estimates on P)

Let P be the canonical product defined in (8). Then P is an entire function of exponential type at most π , which satisfies the following estimates for some positive constants C, C_1, C_2 independent of k :

$$|P(x)| \leq C |x + i|^{-1} e^{2\pi(\cos(\frac{\pi}{8}) + \sin(\frac{\pi}{8}))|x|^{\frac{1}{4}}}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (11)$$

$$|P'(i\mu_k^+)| \geq C_1 |k|^{-1} e^{2\pi(\cos(\frac{\pi}{8}) + \sin(\frac{\pi}{8}))|k|^{\frac{1}{4}}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\} \quad (12)$$

$$|P'(i\mu_k^-)| \geq C_2 |k|^{-7} e^{\pi(k^4 - k^2 + 2|k|)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}. \quad (13)$$

Lemma (Estimate for the multiplier M)

There exist an entire function, M of exponential type at most $a\pi$, where $a = \left(\frac{T}{2\pi} - 1\right) > 0$ which satisfies the following bound for some $C, \tilde{C}, \hat{C} > 0$:

$$|M(x)| \leq C |x| \exp \left[-2\pi \left(\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{8} \right) + \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{8} \right) \right) |x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \right], \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (14)$$

$$|M(i\mu_k^+)| \geq \tilde{C} |k|^{-3} \exp \left[-2\pi \left(\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{8} \right) + \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{8} \right) \right) |k|^{\frac{1}{4}} \right], \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}, \quad (15)$$

$$|M(i\mu_k^-)| \geq \hat{C} |k|^{-8} \exp \left[\pi a (k^4 - k^2) - c|k| \right], \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}. \quad (16)$$

Contd.

Now, we can finally define Ψ_k^\pm as

$$\Psi_k^\pm(z) = \frac{P(z)}{P'(i\mu_k^\pm)(z - i\mu_k^\pm)} \frac{M(z)}{M(i\mu_k^\pm)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}$$

and

$$\Psi_0(z) = \frac{P(z)}{P'(-i)(z + i)} \frac{M(z)}{M(-i)}.$$

Clearly, Ψ_k is an entire function of exponential type at most $\pi + a\pi = \frac{T}{2}$ and satisfies

$$\Psi_k^\pm(i\mu_l^\pm) = \delta_{kl} \delta_\pm, \quad \forall l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1\} \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\},$$

$$\Psi_0(i\mu_l^\pm) = \delta_{0l}, \quad \forall l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1\}.$$

Contd.

Now, we can finally define Ψ_k^\pm as

$$\Psi_k^\pm(z) = \frac{P(z)}{P'(i\mu_k^\pm)(z - i\mu_k^\pm)} \frac{M(z)}{M(i\mu_k^\pm)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}$$

and

$$\Psi_0(z) = \frac{P(z)}{P'(-i)(z + i)} \frac{M(z)}{M(-i)}.$$

Clearly, Ψ_k is an entire function of exponential type at most $\pi + a\pi = \frac{T}{2}$ and satisfies

$$\Psi_k^\pm(i\mu_l^\pm) = \delta_{kl} \delta_\pm, \quad \forall l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1\} \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\},$$

$$\Psi_0(i\mu_l^\pm) = \delta_{0l}, \quad \forall l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1\}.$$

It can be seen that

$$|P(x)M(x)| \leq C.$$

Contd.

Now, we can finally define Ψ_k^\pm as

$$\Psi_k^\pm(z) = \frac{P(z)}{P'(i\mu_k^\pm)(z - i\mu_k^\pm)} \frac{M(z)}{M(i\mu_k^\pm)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}$$

and

$$\Psi_0(z) = \frac{P(z)}{P'(-i)(z + i)} \frac{M(z)}{M(-i)}.$$

Clearly, Ψ_k is an entire function of exponential type at most $\pi + a\pi = \frac{T}{2}$ and satisfies

$$\Psi_k^\pm(i\mu_l^\pm) = \delta_{kl} \delta_\pm, \quad \forall l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1\} \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\},$$

$$\Psi_0(i\mu_l^\pm) = \delta_{0l}, \quad \forall l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1\}.$$

It can be seen that

$$|P(x)M(x)| \leq C.$$

For $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}$, $\Psi_k^+, \Psi_k^- \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with

$$\|\Psi_k^+\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C|k|^4,$$

$$\|\Psi_k^-\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C|k|^{15} e^{-\frac{T}{2}(k^4 - k^2)}.$$

Contd.

Now, we can finally define Ψ_k^\pm as

$$\Psi_k^\pm(z) = \frac{P(z)}{P'(i\mu_k^\pm)(z - i\mu_k^\pm)} \frac{M(z)}{M(i\mu_k^\pm)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}$$

and

$$\Psi_0(z) = \frac{P(z)}{P'(-i)(z + i)} \frac{M(z)}{M(-i)}.$$

Clearly, Ψ_k is an entire function of exponential type at most $\pi + a\pi = \frac{T}{2}$ and satisfies

$$\Psi_k^\pm(i\mu_l^\pm) = \delta_{kl} \delta_\pm, \quad \forall l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1\} \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\},$$

$$\Psi_0(i\mu_l^\pm) = \delta_{0l}, \quad \forall l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1\}.$$

It can be seen that

$$|P(x)M(x)| \leq C.$$

For $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0\}$, $\Psi_k^+, \Psi_k^- \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with

$$\|\Psi_k^+\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C|k|^4,$$

$$\|\Psi_k^-\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C|k|^{15} e^{-\frac{T}{2}(k^4 - k^2)}.$$

Also,

$$|\Psi_0(x)| \leq \frac{C}{|x + i|},$$

and so $\Psi_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ as well.

Controllability Results

$$\begin{cases} u_t + u_{xxxx} + u_{xxx} + u_{xx} = v_x + h_1, & (t, x) \in (0, T) \times (0, 2\pi), \\ v_t + v_x = u_x + h_2, & (t, x) \in (0, T) \times (0, 2\pi), \\ u(t, 0) = u(t, 2\pi) + q_1(t), & t \in (0, T), \\ u^{(i)}(t, 0) = u^{(i)}(t, 2\pi), & t \in (0, T), i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \\ v(t, 0) = v(t, 2\pi) + q_2(t), & t \in (0, T), \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), v(0, x) = v_0(x), & x \in (0, 2\pi), \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

Controllability Results

$$\begin{cases} u_t + u_{xxxx} + u_{xxx} + u_{xx} = v_x + h_1, & (t, x) \in (0, T) \times (0, 2\pi), \\ v_t + v_x = u_x + h_2, & (t, x) \in (0, T) \times (0, 2\pi), \\ u(t, 0) = u(t, 2\pi) + q_1(t), & t \in (0, T), \\ u^{(i)}(t, 0) = u^{(i)}(t, 2\pi), & t \in (0, T), i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \\ v(t, 0) = v(t, 2\pi) + q_2(t), & t \in (0, T), \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), v(0, x) = v_0(x), & x \in (0, 2\pi), \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

Theorem (Null controllability)

Let $(u_0, v_0) \in X$. Then for any time $T > T_0 = 2\pi$, there exists a control function $F \in Z$ such that the solution of (17) satisfies $(u(T, x), v(T, x)) = (0, 0)$, where X , F and Z depend on the control system in consideration and are given explicitly in the table below:

S. No.	Space of initial data, X	Control function, F
(a)	$\{(u_0, v_0) \in \mathcal{H}_p^s(0, 2\pi) : \langle v_0, 1 \rangle_{L^2} = 0\}, s > 6.5$	$h_1(t, x) = \mathbb{1}_\omega f(x)g(t) \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\omega))$
(b)	$\{(u_0, v_0) \in \mathcal{H}_p^s(0, 2\pi) : \langle u_0, 1 \rangle_{L^2} = 0\}, s > 3.5$	$h_2(t, x) = \mathbb{1}_\omega f(x)g(t) \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\omega))$
(c)	$\{(u_0, v_0) \in \mathcal{H}_p^s(0, 2\pi) : \langle u_0, 1 \rangle_{L^2} = 0\}, s > 3.5$	$q_1 \in L^2(0, T)$
(d)	$\{(u_0, v_0) \in \mathcal{H}_p^s(0, 2\pi) : \langle u_0, 1 \rangle_{L^2} = \langle v_0, 1 \rangle_{L^2} = 0\}, s > 1.5$	$q_2 \in L^2(0, T)$

where, $\mathcal{H}_p^s = \left\{ (u, \eta) \in H_p^s(0, 2\pi) \times H_p^{s+3}(0, 2\pi) : \langle u, e^{\pm ix} \rangle_{L^2(0, 2\pi)} = \langle \eta, e^{\pm ix} \rangle_{L^2(0, 2\pi)} = 0 \right\}$.

References

- [1] Subrata Majumdar and Manish Kumar. On the controllability of a system coupling Kuramoto-Sivashinsky-Korteweg-De Vries and transport equations. working paper or preprint, June 2022. URL <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03695906>.

Thank You!